UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 1 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/559,680	12/06/2005	Serge Calamel	0512-1306	3014
466 YOUNG & TH	7590 01/16/2008 OMPSON		EXAM	INER
745 SOUTH 23RD STREET			SCHILLINGER, ANN M	
2ND FLOOR ARLINGTON,	VA 22202		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			3774	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/16/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/559,680	CALAMEL, SERGE			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Ann Schillinger	3774			
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REI WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	B DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 1.1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tile 1.1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tile 1.1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tile 1.1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tile 1.1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tile 1.1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tile 1.1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tile 1.1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tile 1.1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tile 1.1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tile 1.1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tile 1.1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tile 1.1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tile 1.1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tile 1.1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tile 1.1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tile 1.1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tile 1.1.136(a). In no event, however, howe	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status		,			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ T 3)□ Since this application is in condition for allocations of the closed in accordance with the practice under the condition of the closed in accordance with the practice under the closed in accordance.	his action is non-final. wance except for formal matters, pr	•			
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 15-30 is/are pending in the applica 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are witho 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 15-30 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	drawn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cortain. The oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) objected to by the the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se rection is required if the drawing(s) is ob	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). pjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other: <u>Attachment</u>	Pate Patent Application			

Art Unit: 3774

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 15-23 and 27-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Willi (U.S. Pat. No. 5,549,696). Willi discloses the following of claim 15: an acetabular implant cup insert for a joint prosthesis, the insert comprising a metal shell (2) and a polymer (col. 2, lines 52) lining (1) lining the inside space of said shell, a receptacle (1f) for a prosthetic head being formed in the lining, said shell presenting on its inside space means (4, 8) for preventing said lining being extracted from the shell and means for preventing the lining turning in the shell, wherein said means for preventing the lining being extracted comprise a stud (4a, 8) disposed on an end wall of the posterior portion of the inside space of the shell, said stud having at least one groove or lip (Attachment A) with which the lining interfits to lock the lining on the shell (col. 4, lines 10-59).

Willi discloses the limitations of claims 16-22 and 30 as shown in Attachment A. Regarding claim 30, it has been held that the term "integral" is sufficiently broad to embrace constructions united by such means as fastening and welding. *In re Hotte*, 177 USPQ"326, 328 (CCPA 1973).

Willi discloses the following of claim 23: the insert according to claim 15, wherein the anterior portion of said shell presents a conical shape (as shown in Figure 2a).

Application/Control Number: 10/559,680

Art Unit: 3774

Willi discloses the limitations of claims 27 and 28 in col. 1, lines 5-14, 20-26.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 24 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Willi in view of Noble et al. (U.S. Pat. No. 5,002,580). Willi does not teach the use of ceramics as a liner along the insert because ceramics are biologically inert and serve well as a bearing surface. Noble et al. teaches a prosthetic device with ceramics as a liner in col. 9, lines 9-13. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use ceramics in the implant because they will not react badly in the patient's body while still providing a strong bearing surface.

Claim 25 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Willi in view of Noiles (U.S. Pat. No. 4,678,472). The Willi reference discloses the structural limitations of the acetabular insert, but not how it is constructed and inserted. Noiles teaches the artificial joint of claim 25 as follows: an insert according to claim 15, wherein said lining is obtained by an operation of thermocompressing said polymer in said inside space of the shell (col. 9, lines 20-26), followed by a machining operation (col. 9, lines 32-36). As described by Noiles, this process is well known in the art, and allows the liner to be fit properly to the outer shell before it is inserted. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the

Application/Control Number: 10/559,680

Art Unit: 3774

invention was made to use these techniques as a potential method of constructing and inserting the acetabular cup.

Claim 26 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Willi in view of Fixel (U.S. Pat. No. 4,180,873). The Willi reference discloses the structural limitations of the acetabular insert, but not how it is constructed and inserted. Fixel teaches an implanted prosthesis with the method of inserting a previously shaped liner into the outer shell by impaction in col. 2, lines 18-25. This method provides a simpler means of constructing the acetabular cup before it is inserted into the patient. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use this technique as one of the many methods that are available for the construction and the insertion of the acetabular cup.

Response to Arguments

The objection towards claim 28 is withdrawn.

Applicant's arguments filed 10/30/2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Regarding claim 15, the Applicant contends that the Willi reference does not have the stud located in a posterior portion of the inside space of the shell. However, the Willi invention is being interpreted as having the right portion be anterior portion and the left portion be the posterior portion. With this interpretation, the Willi reference meets the amended limitations made to claim 15.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ann Schillinger whose telephone number is (571) 272-6652. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. thru Fri. 9 a.m. to 4 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Corrine McDermott can be reached on (571) 272-4754. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Ann Schillinger January 11, 2008

CORRINE McDERMOTT
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TFCHNOLOGY CENTER 3700

